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Ductile Co-based bulk metallic glass with superhigh strength and excellent soft magnetic properties induced by modulation of structural heterogeneity
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Abstract

The development of Co-based bulk metallic glasses (BMGs) with satisfying comprehensive properties, including large glass-forming ability, high strength, large plasticity and excellent soft magnetic properties, is in high need for applications as structural and functional materials. A (Co0.3Fe0.7Ni1.1)70.7B21.5Si1.5NbCu0.3 BMG with a record large plasticity of 5.5%, a high fracture strength of 4770 MPa, and a large critical diameter of 3.5 mm is successfully developed. This BMG also shows good soft magnetic properties, i.e. low coercivity (Hc) of 1.33 A/m and higher saturation magnetization (Bs) of 0.60 T. The enhanced strength and plasticity, as well as high Bs of this BMG, are all attributed to the minor addition of Cu in (Co0.3Fe0.7Ni1.1)68.4B21.5Si1.5NbCu (x = 0, 0.1, 0.3, 0.5 and 0.7 at.%) system. The reduced average atomic spacing in the BMG, which comes from the squeezed spacing between Co, Fe, Ni and Nb atoms with proper addition of Cu, results in the improvement of fracture strength and Bs. The atomic-scale structural heterogeneity with the formation of crystal-like order structures is induced in the amorphous matrix, and thus the plasticity of (Co0.3Fe0.7Ni1.1)70.7B21.5Si1.5NbCu0.3 BMG increases in spite of the decreased average atomic spacing.
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1. Introduction

Co-based metallic glasses are promising functional materials for engineering applications due to their excellent magnetic properties, i.e., high effective permeability and low coercivity (Hc) [1–5]. In 2003, the first Co-based bulk metallic glass (BMG) with a composition of Co47Fe30Ta5B18 was fabricated by copper mold casting method. The resulted cylindrical rods have a critical diameter (Dc) of 2 mm [6]. The surprisingly high fracture strength of 5185 MPa for this Co-based BMG attracted considerable research attentions, and a large number of Co-based BMGs have been prepared since then [7–13]. By proper adjustment of the Co/Ta ratio, the fracture strength of the ternary Co80Ta10B10 BMG reached 6020 MPa, which is the largest value among all the BMGs [14]. After that, the successful preparation of Co32Cu17Fe28Ta26B38 Si5 BMG improved the Dc of Co-based BMGs up to 6 mm [15]. However, the structural and functional applications of Co-based BMGs are limited by their poor room-temperature plasticity, as the plastic strain of most Co-based BMGs is less than 2%. The poor plastic deformation ability of the Co-based BMGs not only makes the manufacturing processes difficult, such as folding, but also reduces their reliability as structural materials. For example, the plastic strain of the Co85Ta10B5 BMG that mentioned above is only 0.5% [14], which may result in catastrophic failure under loading.

Introducing structural heterogeneity in the amorphous matrix by adding elements that either have a large Poisson’s ratio or positive mixing enthalpy with the major elements has been widely used to improve the plasticity of BMGs [16,17]. Some efforts using this method have been devoted to improve the limited room-temperature plasticity of Co-based BMGs [18–20], however, none of these Co-based BMGs shows satisfying comprehensive properties, i.e. large plasticity, high strength and large glass-forming ability (GFA). For example, by substituting Ta with Nb, which has a large Poisson’s ratio of 0.4, the structural heterogeneity with the formed medium-range order clusters of 1–2 nm scale is induced in the ternary Co84Nb5B11 BMG, leading to the improvement of their plastic strain up to 5.0% [21,22]. Unfortunately, the Dc of Co84Nb5B11 BMG is only 1.5 mm. Furthermore, for the CoFeBSiNb BMG systems that have a large Dc up to 5.5 mm, improvement of the plasticity is achieved by the increased structural heterogeneity with the formation of Co(Fe-rich
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clusters by minor addition of Cu, which has large positive mixing enthalpy with Co/Fe elements [20,23]. However, the largest plastic strain obtained in the CoFeBSiNb BMG systems is only 2.5%. Thus, the development of Co-based BMGs with good comprehensive properties remains a big challenge.

It was reported that modulation of the structural heterogeneity is effective in improving not only the plasticity but also the fracture strength and GFA of CuZr-based BMGs. In the CuZrAl BMG, by addition of Y, which has a positive mixing enthalpy with Zr [24], the plasticity and GFA of the BMG was improved simultaneously because of the introduction of atomic-scale heterogeneity [25]. Comparative studies on the Cu_{50}Zr_{50} and Cu_{74.5}Zr_{25.5}Al_{4} amorphous alloys showed that the minor addition of Al led to higher inhomogeneity in the amorphous matrix by the formation of more isocahedral medium-range orders, and resulted in the increased yield strength and GFA; moreover, the even distribution of these isocahedra also improved the plasticity [26]. Simultaneous improvement in plasticity and strength was also achieved in Cu_{44}Zr_{46}Al_{8} BMG by introducing atomic-scale heterogeneities as Ag and Cu have a positive mixing enthalpy [27]. Inspired by these works, we aim to develop Co-based BMGs with large GFA, high strength and large room-temperature plasticity by substantially increasing the degree of their atomic-scale structural heterogeneity. Also, the soft magnetic properties of the Co-based BMGs should not be deteriorated.

In this work, we started from the previously reported (Co_{0.7}Fe_{0.3}Zr_{0.68}B_{2.9}Si_{1.1}Nb_{0.8}, which has a large GFA of 5.5 mm but no room-temperature plasticity [10], and took (Co_{0.5}Fe_{0.2}Ni_{0.3}Zr_{0.68}B_{2.9}Si_{1.1}Nb_{0.8} as the base alloy by substituting some Fe with Ni to introduce a certain degree of structural heterogeneity, as the mixing enthalpies of Co-Ni and Fe-Ni pairs are both close to 0, and Ni has a large Poisson’s ratio [28-30]. In order to further increase the structural heterogeneity, different amount of Cu was then added in the base alloy to prepare (Co_{0.7}Fe_{0.3}Ni_{0.3}Zr_{0.68}B_{2.9}Si_{1.1}Nb_{0.8}Cu_{x} (x = 0, 0.1, 0.3, 0.5 and 0.7 at.%) BMGs. A novel (Co_{0.7}Fe_{0.3}Ni_{0.3}Zr_{0.68}B_{2.9}Si_{1.1}Nb_{0.8}Cu_{0.3} BMG with not only an improved plasticity of 5.5%, but also an increased fracture strength of 4770 MPa was successfully prepared. The enhanced strength is supposed to originate from the reduced atomic spacing in the alloy, as revealed by synchrotron radiation X-ray diffraction (XRD). The improved plasticity came from the structural heterogeneity induced by Cu addition, which was verified by spherical aberration corrected high-resolution transmission electron microscope (HRTEM).

2. Experimental

2.1. Sample preparation

Multicomponent Co-based alloy ingots with nominal compositions of (Co_{0.7}Fe_{0.3}Ni_{0.3}Zr_{0.68}B_{2.9}Si_{1.1}Nb_{0.8}Cu_{x} (x = 0, 0.1, 0.3, 0.5 and 0.7 at.%) were prepared by arc melting mixtures of Co (99.99%), Fe (99.99%), Ni (99.99%), B (99.99%), Si (99.99%), Nb (99.95%), and Cu (99.95%)% in a high purity argon atmosphere. Ribbons with a thickness of 30 μm and a width of 1.2 mm were produced by the single roller melt-spinning method. BMGs as cylindrical rods with different diameters were fabricated by the copper mold injection casting method. The length of the glassy rods is between 20–50 mm long when the diameter is 1 mm; and the glassy rods with a diameter more than 1 mm are usually 50–80 mm long.

2.2. Mechanical and magnetic property tests

Mechanical properties including fracture strength and plastic strain were measured by compression tests at room temperature with a Sans 5305 electromechanical testing machine at a strain rate of 5 × 10^{-4} s^{-1}. The gauge size of bulk glassy rods for compression tests was 1 mm in diameter and 2 mm in length. The saturation magnetization (B_s) of glassy ribbons was measured with a vibrating sample magnetometer (VSM, Lake Shore 7410) under an applied field of 800 kA/m. The H_c of glassy ribbons with the length of 70 mm was measured using a DC B-H loop tracer (RIKEN BHS-40) under a maximum field of 800 A/m. All of the samples for magnetic property measurements were annealed for 300 s at specific temperatures (50 K less than the glass transition temperature) for structural relaxation. The glass transition temperatures (T_g) of the glassy ribbons were measured by differential scanning calorimeter (DSC, NETZSCH 404F3) at a heating rate of 0.67 K/s. The measured T_g values of (Co_{0.7}Fe_{0.3}Ni_{0.3}Zr_{0.68}B_{2.9}Si_{1.1}Nb_{0.8}Cu_{x} (x = 0, 0.1, 0.3, 0.5 and 0.7 at.%) glassy ribbons are 843, 838, 833, 836 and 842 K, respectively. The T_g value of each sample is the averaged result of three tests, and the errors of T_g for all the samples are within ±3 K.

2.3. Microstructural characterization

The amorphous nature of the as-cast alloys was identified by X-ray diffraction (XRD, Bruker D8 Discover) with Cu-Kα radiation. The deformed and fracture surfaces of the glassy rods after compression tests were examined by scanning electron microscope (SEM, FEI Sirion 200). HRTEM analyses of the bulk glassy rods were carried out on a spherical aberration-corrected FEI Titan 80–300 transmission electronic microscope. The samples for HRTEM analyses were obtained from the 1 mm cylindrical rods (similar as the ones used for mechanical property tests) by ion milling method (Gatan Inc., PIPS-M691) under liquid nitrogen cooling condition, which keeps the temperature of samples below 193 K during milling process to avoid the negative impact of heating from the beam [31,32]. The melt-spun ribbons were analyzed using synchrotron radiation XRD with a wavelength of 0.1174 Å at beam line 11-IDC at the Advanced Photon Source, Argonne National Laboratory, USA. The two dimensional diffraction images were recorded using a Perkin–Elmer amorphous silicon detector and integrated to one dimensional XRD pattern by the program Fit2D [32]. Then, the data were normalized to get the total structural factor S(Q) and corresponding reduced pair distribution functions G(r) through the software PDFgetX2 [34,35].

3. Results

3.1. Mechanical properties

Cylindrical rods of (Co_{0.7}Fe_{0.3}Ni_{0.3}Zr_{0.68}B_{2.9}Si_{1.1}Nb_{0.8}Cu_{x} (x = 0, 0.1, 0.3, 0.5 and 0.7 at.%) with varied diameters were produced and examined under XRD to evaluate the largest glassy rods that can be produced without crystallization, in another word, the D_c of the BMGs. The larger D_c, the better GFA of BMG. The D_c of (Co_{0.7}Fe_{0.3}Ni_{0.3}Zr_{0.68}B_{2.9}Si_{1.1}Nb_{0.8}Cu_{0.3} (x = 0, 0.1, 0.3, 0.5 and 0.7 at.%) glassy rods are 5, 4, 3.5, 3 and 2 mm, respectively, indicating the large GFA of this alloy system.

The compressive stress-strain curves of (Co_{0.7}Fe_{0.3}Ni_{0.3}Zr_{0.68}B_{2.9}Si_{1.1}Nb_{0.8}Cu_{0.3} (x = 0, 0.1, 0.3, 0.5 and 0.7 at.%) BMGs are shown in Fig. 1. The amorphous nature of the samples used for compressive tests were confirmed by XRD. All of these alloys have similar elastic strain of about 2%. When x = 0, 0.1, 0.3, 0.5 and 0.7, the fracture strength of the samples are 4275, 4475, 4770, 4410 and 4340 MPa, respectively, while the plastic strain are 1.8, 3.4, 5.5, 2.0 and 0.8%, respectively. The Critical diameters, fracture strength and plastic strain of the BMGs are summarized in Table 1. According to our previous work, without Ni or Cu addition, the compressive plastic strain of (Co_{0.7}Fe_{0.3}Ni_{0.3}Zr_{0.68}B_{2.9}Si_{1.1}Nb_{0.8}Cu_{0.3} BMG is only 0.8% [20]. Therefore, the substitution of 1/3 Fe with Ni increases the plastic strain of the BMG. Moreover, with the increase of Cu addition, both the fracture strength and plastic strain firstly increase and then decrease, reaching the highest value in (Co_{0.7}Fe_{0.3}Ni_{0.3}Zr_{0.68}B_{2.9}Si_{1.1}Nb_{0.8}Cu_{0.3} BMG. The GFA, strength and plastic strain of the (Co_{0.7}Fe_{0.3}Ni_{0.3}Zr_{0.68}B_{2.9}Si_{1.1}Nb_{0.8}Cu_{0.3} BMG are compared with other Co-based BMGs that have been reported, as shown in Fig. 2. The Co-based BMGs can be categorized into three groups according to their GFA and mechanical properties: (I) CoTaB(Fe)(Mo)(Si)(Cu), (II) CoAB (A=Nb or Ta), and
Fig. 1. Compressive stress-strain curves of (Co$_x$Fe$_{2}$_Ni$_{0.1}$)$_{68}$B$_{21.9}$Si$_{5.1}$Nb$_{5}$Cu$_{x}$ (x = 0, 0.1, 0.3, 0.5 and 0.7 at.%) glassy rods with diameters of 1 mm.

Fig. 2. Comparison of $D_c$, fracture strength and plastic strain for Co-based BMGs categorized into three groups: (I) CoTa(Fe)(Mo)(Si)(Cu) (grey area), (II) CoMB (M= Nb or Ta) (yellow area), and (III) CoFeBSi(Nb)(Cu)(Ni) (green area).

Table 1: Critical diameters $D_c$, mechanical properties (fracture strength $\sigma_f$ and plastic strain $\epsilon_p$), and magnetic properties (coercivity $H_C$ and magnetic flux density at saturation $B_r$) of the as-cast (Co$_{0.7}$Fe$_{0.2}$Ni$_{0.1}$)$_{68}$B$_{21.9}$Si$_{5.1}$Nb$_{5}$Cu$_{x}$ (x = 0, 0.1, 0.3, 0.5 and 0.7 at.%) metallic glasses.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Cu content</th>
<th>$D_c$ (mm)</th>
<th>$\sigma_f$ (MPa)</th>
<th>$\epsilon_p$ (%)</th>
<th>$H_C$ (A/m)</th>
<th>$B_r$ (T)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>x = 0</td>
<td>5.0</td>
<td>4275</td>
<td>1.8</td>
<td>0.41</td>
<td>0.51</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>x = 0.1</td>
<td>4.0</td>
<td>4475</td>
<td>3.4</td>
<td>0.73</td>
<td>0.56</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>x = 0.3</td>
<td>3.5</td>
<td>4770</td>
<td>5.5</td>
<td>1.33</td>
<td>0.60</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>x = 0.5</td>
<td>3.0</td>
<td>4410</td>
<td>2.0</td>
<td>1.54</td>
<td>0.54</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>x = 0.7</td>
<td>2.0</td>
<td>4340</td>
<td>0.8</td>
<td>2.11</td>
<td>0.48</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

(III) CoFeBSi(Nb)(Cu)(Ni). The BMGs in group I are CoTaB microalloying with Fe, Mo, Si or Cu, and no plasticity is reported for these BMGs. Besides, no BMG in this group simultaneously has satisfying GFA and large strength. For instance, the Co$_{0.7}$Fe$_{0.2}$Ni$_{0.1}$Ta$_{5}$B$_{26}$Si$_{5}$BMG has the largest $D_c$ (6 mm) in Co-based BMGs, but its strength is only 3928 MPa [15]; the (Co$_{0.53}$Fe$_{0.1}$Ta$_{0.55}$B$_{31}$Si$_{5}$)BMG has a large strength of 5550 MPa but a small $D_c$ of 1.5 mm [36]. The Co-based BMGs in group II are ternary Co-based alloys that have large fracture strength but limited GFA (less than 2 mm). The plastic strain of Co$_{57}$Ta$_{29}$B$_{35}$ and Co$_{50}$Nb$_{15}$B$_{35}$ BMGs are both below 2%, while their fracture strengths are extremely high, up to 5875 and 5720 MPa [14]. The Co$_{52}$Nb$_{29}$B$_{35}$ and Co$_{50}$Nb$_{15}$B$_{35}$ BMGs show large plasticity (2.9% and 5%), but lower fracture strength (5230 and 5290 MPa) [21, 22]. For Co-based BMGs in group III, CoFeBSiNb BMG system shows excellent GFA up to 5.5 mm [10]. With minor addition of Cu, the plasticity of these BMGs is enlarged to 2.5%, while the fracture strength is among 4310–4370 MPa [20]. In this work, the (Co$_{0.7}$Fe$_{0.2}$Ni$_{0.1}$)$_{68}$B$_{21.9}$Si$_{5.1}$Nb$_{5}$Cu$_{0.3}$ BMG prepared by co-adding Ni and Cu has the largest plastic strain (5.5%), high fracture strength (4770 MPa) and large GFA (3.5 mm), as indicated by a star in Fig. 2.

3.2. Dynamics of shear band

The macroscopic plastic deformation and fracture processes of BMGs are controlled by the evolution of shear bands, including initiation, propagation and interactions. It has been proved that the shear band dynamics can be reflected by serration flow from the stress-strain curves of compression tests [38–41]. Here, the stress-strain curves from the plastic deformation region for the (Co$_{0.7}$Fe$_{0.2}$Ni$_{0.1}$)$_{68}$B$_{21.9}$Si$_{5.1}$Nb$_{5}$Cu$_{x}$ (x = 0 and 0.3 at.%) BMGs, which have typical different mechanical behaviors, are enlarged and shown in Figs. 3(a) and (b), respectively. The plastic deformation regions of both curves can be divided into two stages, including the steady plastic deformation stage (stage I) and the stress-decreasing stage (stage II). With the Cu content increasing from 0 to 0.3 at.%, the plastic strains at both stages increase. Besides, the shape of the serration patterns varies between the two BMGs. The stress vibrations for (Co$_{0.7}$Fe$_{0.2}$Ni$_{0.1}$)$_{68}$B$_{21.9}$Si$_{5.1}$Nb$_{5}$Cu$_{x}$ BMG is small at the beginning of the plastic deformation and increases continuously until failure, which reveals that the propagation of the shear bands is getting faster and close to the formation of cracks. By contrast, the serration of (Co$_{0.7}$Fe$_{0.2}$Ni$_{0.1}$)$_{68}$B$_{21.9}$Si$_{5.1}$Nb$_{5}$Cu$_{0.3}$ BMG only has small complex vibrations without growing ones, indicating that the propagation of the shear bands in this alloy is quite stable [42].

The stress vibrations that are composed of repeated stress rising and drops have been observed on the stress-strain curves of compression and tension tests for both crystalline and amorphous materials. For crystalline materials, different mechanisms have been proposed to explain the stress vibrations, including phase transformation, slip avalanches, the interaction between dislocation motion and solute atoms diffusion [43, 44]. For BMGs, as structural defects like dislocation or grain boundaries ‘do not exist’, it is well accepted that the stress rising process corresponds to the accumulation of the elastic strain energy, and the stress drop is related to the initiation and propagation of shear bands. Statistical analyses of the values of the stress drops help to further understand the shear band dynamics. The analyses of the serrations for the (Co$_{0.7}$Fe$_{0.2}$Ni$_{0.1}$)$_{68}$B$_{21.9}$Si$_{5.1}$Nb$_{5}$Cu$_{x}$ (x = 0 and 0.3 at.%) BMGs are carried out by categorizing the values of stress drops into different ranges and counting the numbers of stress drops within each range, with the results shown in Fig. 3(c) and (d), respectively. The stress drops within the plastic deformation region of (Co$_{0.7}$Fe$_{0.2}$Ni$_{0.1}$)$_{68}$B$_{21.9}$Si$_{5.1}$Nb$_{5}$BMG follow Gaussian distribution (as indicated by the blue line), which means that the shear band dynamics in this BMG is in a chaotic state. In contrast, the stress drops of (Co$_{0.7}$Fe$_{0.2}$Ni$_{0.1}$)$_{68}$B$_{21.9}$Si$_{5.1}$Nb$_{5}$Cu$_{0.3}$ BMG is in power-law distribution, revealing that the shear band dynamics in this BMG is a self-organized critical (SOC) behavior. BMGs that have SOC behavior are able to buffer against large stress and have high resistance to external impact, which means the matrix can endure more
deformation before fracture [45]. With 0 and 0.1 at.% Cu addition, the shear bands dynamics of the BMGs are in chaotic state, and change to SOC state with 0.3 at.% Cu addition, then back to chaotic state with 0.5 and 0.7 at.% Cu addition (data not shown), corresponding well with the plastic strain change of these BMGs.

The lateral surfaces of deformed (Co0.7Fe0.3Ni0.1)x8.3B21.9Si6.1Nb3Cu0.3 (x = 0 and 0.3 at.) BMGs after compression tests were examined using SEM, as shown in Fig. 3(e) and (f), respectively. Several shear bands are formed on the Cu-free (Co0.7Fe0.3Ni0.1)x8.3B21.9Si6.1Nb3 BMG. The prominent ones are approximately parallel to each other, and close to 45° to the loading direction, which is consistent with previous works [46]. Only a handful of shear band branching are visible on the surface after deformation. In contrary, multiple shear bands appear on the surface of deformed (Co0.7Fe0.3Ni0.1)x7.7B21.9Si6.1Nb3Cu0.3 BMG after compression test. The angle between the main shear band and the loading direction is also close to 45°. These shear bands intersect with each other, leading to the dissipation of elastic strain energy. As shown in the inset of Fig. 3(f), the fracture surface of the (Co0.7Fe0.3Ni0.1)x7.7B21.9Si6.1Nb3Cu0.3 BMG exhibits well-developed vein patterns, which are characteristics of ductile BMGs [20,47].

The formation of multiple shear bands on the surface of BMGs reflect the SOC behavior of shear band dynamics during plastic deformation. Without Cu addition, when the deformation of the Co-based BMG is beyond the elastic limit, a few shear bands are initiated at the shear transformation zones and propagate through the alloy matrix quickly due to the rare occurrence of shear band interactions like branching, arresting, intersection or crossing, and then form cracks shortly. With proper addition of Cu, a larger number of shear bands are initiated, and their propagation is impeded due to the higher probability of shear band interactions, which also promotes the formation of multiple shear bands. As a result, the elastic strain energy is dissipated, leading to a stable shear band propagation process, which is defined as SOC behavior of serrations, and the catastrophic failure is avoided. Apparently, the minor Cu addition has a large impact on the shear band dynamics and thus on the mechanical behavior of the Co-based BMGs, and the reason for this will be discussed in Section 4.2.
and $q_2$ increase and reach the maximum values; by further increasing Cu content, the values of $q_1$ and $q_2$ decrease continuously. The absolute errors coming from fitting are much smaller than the changes of $q_1$ and $q_2$, ensuring the authenticity of results. However, the value of $q_2/q_1$ for the Co-based metallic glasses changes with the Cu contents, as shown in the inset of Fig. 5(b), indicating the influences of Cu addition on the interatomic distances at different levels of atomic shells are not the same. Based on the above results, the atoms in the medium-range for 0.3 at.% Cu-added alloy are likely to have a denser packing than other alloys prepared in this work, although the changes at different atomic shells are not the same.

To further investigate the structural evolution of these Co-based BMGs with Cu addition, the reduced pair correlation functions $G(r)$ of these alloys were calculated, as shown in Fig. 6. All curves oscillate around 0 Å$^{-2}$ (i.e. $G(r) \rightarrow 0$ for $r \rightarrow \infty$). As $G(r)$ vs. $r$ is in real-space, the function at small $r$ range shows information about SRO, while that at large $r$ range represents MRO structure. All of the curves show obvious oscillations up to $r = 25$ Å, indicating a high degree of MRO in this alloy system, which is consistent with the $S(q)$ analysis. The first peak contains information from the first coordination shell of atoms. As shown in the inset of Fig. 6(a), the first peak position $r_1$ (derived using pseudo-Voigt function fitting) decreases firstly as Cu content increases from 0 to 0.3 at.%, and then increases with further addition of Cu content up to 0.7 at.%. The absolute errors from fitting are less than 2.1%, which is within acceptance. The smallest $r_1$ for (Co$_{0.7}$Fe$_{0.2}$Ni$_{0.1}$)$_{68-x}$B$_{21.5}$Si$_{5.1}$Nb$_{x}$Cu$_{x}$ metallic glasses suggests that it has the most densely packed nearest neighbors. Thus, based on the analyses of $S(q)$ and $G(r)$ functions, atoms in (Co$_{0.7}$Fe$_{0.2}$Ni$_{0.1}$)$_{68-x}$B$_{21.5}$Si$_{5.1}$Nb$_{x}$Cu$_{x}$ metallic glass may be more densely packed in both short-range and medium-range scales than those in other alloys prepared in this work.

In order to unveil the origin of the dense packing, the changes of interatomic distances for the alloys are investigated. The possible nearest-neighbor atomic pairs and their theoretical bond lengths ($r_{ij}$) are obtained from the sum of atomic radii, which are 1.25, 1.24, 1.25, 0.83, 1.117, 1.43, and 1.28 Å for Co, Fe, Ni, B, Si, Nb, and Cu atoms, respectively. Other than the $r_1$, the X-ray scattering factor ($w_q$) at $q = 0$ Å$^{-1}$, which is closely related to the scattering power and concentration of the elements, is also calculated [49]. The values of $r_1$ and $w_q$ for (Co$_{0.7}$Fe$_{0.2}$Ni$_{0.1}$)$_{68-x}$B$_{21.5}$Si$_{5.1}$Nb$_{x}$Cu$_{x}$ ($x = 0, 0.1, 0.3, 0.5$ and 0.7 at.%) metallic glasses are summarized in Table 2. The positions of different atomic pairs are labelled using dashed lines based on their bond lengths on the enlarged $G(r)$ curves (shifted vertically for comparison purposes) at the first coordination shell range, as shown in Fig. 6(b). Because it is difficult to distinguish the partial atomic pair correlations of Fe, Co, and Ni atoms due to their similar atomic radii, all of the three elements are defined as “M”. Apparently, the small splits on the left of the plots are constructed by the atomic pairs containing metalloids, while the main first maxima is from the overlapping of partial atomic pair correlations between metalic elements, i.e. Fe, Co, Ni, Cu, and Nb. Furthermore, based on the values of $w_q$, the dominant atomic pairs that constitute the first coordination shell are M-M and Co-Nb, as the $w_q$ of the remaining partials are negligible. Besides, with the increase of Cu contents, the overall contribution of M-M pair decreases while that of the M-Cu pairs increases, and the contributions of the other atomic pairs remain almost the same. In other words, the shift of $r_1$ is mainly from the variations of atomic spacing between M-M and Co-Nb pairs, while the influence from the bond length of M-Cu pairs becomes more important with the increasing Cu content.

As the atomic radius of Cu is slightly larger than that of Fe, Co and Ni, the interatomic distances of the alloys should increase with Cu addition if we assume the atoms arrange in a hard-sphere model and only take the atomic radii into consideration, which is inconsistent with the above results. Thus, the interactions and influences between atoms, such as the mixing enthalpy, also play an important role in the rearrangement of the atoms with Cu addition. In these Co-based BMGs, the positive mixture.
ing enthalpy between the added Cu atoms and the major atoms, Fe-Cu (13 kJ/mol), Co-Cu (6 kJ/mol), Ni-Cu (4 kJ/mol) and Nb-Cu (3 kJ/mol) [25], results in mutual repulsion in these pairs. The change of bond lengths from the mutual repulsions between atoms in BMGs is not linear. On one hand, the spacing between Cu and Fe/Co/Ni/Nb atomic pairs is enlarged with more Cu added. On the other hand, the Fe, Co, Ni, and Nb atoms are squeezed closer due to the repulsion force from Cu addition. With smaller amount of Cu, the squeezed spacing is larger than the enlarged portion. By further increasing the amount of Cu, the contribution of the enlarged bond length of M-Cu pairs becomes more prominent based on the \( w_0 \) results. And the enlargement between the atomic pairs with large mixing enthalpy can continue, but the squeezing is approaching a limit due to the sharply increased repulsive force between atoms with the decreasing atomic distance. Thus, the average atomic spacing increases again with more than 0.3 at.% Cu addition. This explains the lowest atomic spacing in \( (\text{Co}_{0.2}\text{Fe}_{0.8}\text{Ni}_{0.01})_{68-x}\text{B}_{21.9}\text{Si}_{5.1}\text{Nb}_{2}\text{Cu}_x \) BMG with 0.3 Cu content. In this case, the average atomic spacing increases again due to the repulsive force between Cu and the other atoms. The Cu addition reduces the atomic spacing, leading to an increase in the average atomic spacing for Co-based BMGs. This result is consistent with previous works, which reported the improved strength with reduced interatomic spacing in BMGs [51,52].

The changes of bond lengths with Cu addition also influence the magnetic properties of these Co-based metallic glasses, as the atomic magnetic moment is related to the number of the nearest ferromagnetic neighbors for Fe/Co/Ni atoms [53]. With addition of Cu content up to 0.3 at.%, the bonding between ferromagnetic atoms is promoted as revealed by the synchrotron XRD results, leading to the increase of the number of the nearest ferromagnetic neighbors for Fe, Co and Ni atoms [54]. Therefore, the \( B_s \) increases firstly with the increase of Cu content to 0.3 at.%. However, after adding more than a certain amount of Cu, the overall concentration of Fe, Co and Ni atoms is diluted, leading to the decrease of total number of magnetic moments in the alloys [55]. Thus, the \( B_s \) of the Co-based metallic glasses with 0.5 and 0.7 at.% Cu addition is then reduced.

4.2. Formation of crystal-like order regions in the amorphous matrix

The plasticity of BMGs usually decreases with reduced free volume, as smaller free volume provides less initiation sites and branch-
In contrary, with only 0.3 at.% Cu addition, the atomic structure of (Co0.7Fe0.3Ni0.1)57.7B21.9Si5.1Nb5Cu0.3 BMG seems to be more ordered. Other than the SRO regions highlighted by the yellow circles, the crystal-like order (CLO) regions of ~1–2 nm in size with lattice fringes that have imperfect 3D translational symmetry appear in the matrix (highlighted by the yellow squares) [57]. As shown in the inset of Fig. 8(b), two pairs of diffraction spots (indicated by the white circles) appear in the FFT of the representative CLO region (region D), which further confirm the CLO in this region. Although the FFT image shows that this HRTEM has some astigmatism, it doesn’t affect the confirmation of existence of the SRO and CLO structures in this sample. As these kinds of CLO regions are rarely observed in the (Co0.7Fe0.3Ni0.1)57.7B21.9Si5.1Nb5 BMG, these CLO regions are believed to be induced by minor Cu addition due to the large mixing enthalpy between Co-Cu, Fe-Cu, Ni-Cu, and Nb-Cu atomic pairs.

Furthermore, in order to statistically compare the structural disordering degree of the (Co0.7Fe0.3Ni0.1)57.7B21.9Si5.1Nb5Cu0.3 (x = 0 and 0.3 at.% BMG), the areal fraction of the CLO regions are calculated by analyzing the 2D-auto-correlation transformation of the divided cells (1.995 nm x 1.995 nm) for the two BMGs, as shown in Fig. 9. The size of each cell is close to that of the CLO region observed in Fig. 8(b). If the auto-correlation pattern of the cell shows translational symmetry, as for example the cell at the 2nd row and 2nd column of Fig. 9(a), it is considered to be a CLO region [57]. If the auto-correlation shows maze-like pattern, as for example the cell at the 1st row and 1st column of Fig. 9(b), the area is considered to be disordered. With this criteria, different regions of the (Co0.7Fe0.3Ni0.1)57.7B21.9Si5.1Nb5Cu0.3 (x = 0 and 0.3 at.% BMG) are analyzed, and the representative ones are shown in Figs. 9(a) and (b), respectively. According to the statistics, the areal fraction of CLO regions in the Co-based BMG without Cu addition is 11.5 ± 1.5%, and increases distinctly to 24.3 ± 1.2% in (Co0.7Fe0.3Ni0.1)57.7B21.9Si5.1Nb5Cu0.3 BMG. Apparently, the local structural ordering is improved with Cu addition.

The increased structural ordering, i.e. the formation of CLO regions, is mostly due to the large positive mixing enthalpy between Cu

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Atomic pair</th>
<th>r̅(Å)</th>
<th>wGx x=0</th>
<th>wGx x=0.1</th>
<th>wGx x=0.3</th>
<th>wGx x=0.5</th>
<th>wGx x=0.7</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Co-Co</td>
<td>2.50</td>
<td>0.377</td>
<td>0.336</td>
<td>0.333</td>
<td>0.321</td>
<td>0.329</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Co-Fe</td>
<td>2.49</td>
<td>0.185</td>
<td>0.185</td>
<td>0.184</td>
<td>0.182</td>
<td>0.181</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Co-Ni</td>
<td>2.50</td>
<td>0.100</td>
<td>0.099</td>
<td>0.099</td>
<td>0.098</td>
<td>0.098</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fe-Fe</td>
<td>2.48</td>
<td>0.025</td>
<td>0.025</td>
<td>0.025</td>
<td>0.025</td>
<td>0.025</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fe-Ni</td>
<td>2.49</td>
<td>0.027</td>
<td>0.027</td>
<td>0.027</td>
<td>0.027</td>
<td>0.027</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ni-Ni</td>
<td>2.50</td>
<td>0.007</td>
<td>0.007</td>
<td>0.007</td>
<td>0.007</td>
<td>0.007</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Co-B</td>
<td>2.08</td>
<td>0.057</td>
<td>0.057</td>
<td>0.057</td>
<td>0.057</td>
<td>0.057</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fe-B</td>
<td>2.07</td>
<td>0.016</td>
<td>0.016</td>
<td>0.016</td>
<td>0.016</td>
<td>0.016</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ni-B</td>
<td>2.08</td>
<td>0.008</td>
<td>0.008</td>
<td>0.008</td>
<td>0.008</td>
<td>0.008</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Co-Si</td>
<td>2.37</td>
<td>0.037</td>
<td>0.037</td>
<td>0.037</td>
<td>0.037</td>
<td>0.037</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fe-Si</td>
<td>2.36</td>
<td>0.010</td>
<td>0.010</td>
<td>0.010</td>
<td>0.010</td>
<td>0.010</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ni-Si</td>
<td>2.37</td>
<td>0.006</td>
<td>0.006</td>
<td>0.006</td>
<td>0.005</td>
<td>0.005</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Co-Nb</td>
<td>2.68</td>
<td>0.107</td>
<td>0.107</td>
<td>0.107</td>
<td>0.107</td>
<td>0.106</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fe-Nb</td>
<td>2.67</td>
<td>0.030</td>
<td>0.029</td>
<td>0.029</td>
<td>0.029</td>
<td>0.029</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ni-Nb</td>
<td>2.68</td>
<td>0.016</td>
<td>0.016</td>
<td>0.016</td>
<td>0.016</td>
<td>0.016</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Co-Cu</td>
<td>2.53</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>0.002</td>
<td>0.005</td>
<td>0.008</td>
<td>0.011</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fe-Cu</td>
<td>2.52</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>0.000</td>
<td>0.001</td>
<td>0.002</td>
<td>0.003</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ni-Cu</td>
<td>2.53</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>0.000</td>
<td>0.001</td>
<td>0.001</td>
<td>0.002</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>B-B</td>
<td>1.66</td>
<td>0.002</td>
<td>0.002</td>
<td>0.002</td>
<td>0.002</td>
<td>0.002</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>B-Si</td>
<td>1.95</td>
<td>0.003</td>
<td>0.003</td>
<td>0.003</td>
<td>0.003</td>
<td>0.003</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>B-Nb</td>
<td>2.26</td>
<td>0.009</td>
<td>0.009</td>
<td>0.009</td>
<td>0.009</td>
<td>0.009</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cu-B</td>
<td>2.11</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>0.000</td>
<td>0.000</td>
<td>0.000</td>
<td>0.000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Si-Si</td>
<td>2.23</td>
<td>0.001</td>
<td>0.001</td>
<td>0.001</td>
<td>0.001</td>
<td>0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Si-Nb</td>
<td>2.55</td>
<td>0.006</td>
<td>0.006</td>
<td>0.006</td>
<td>0.006</td>
<td>0.006</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Si-Cu</td>
<td>2.40</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>0.000</td>
<td>0.000</td>
<td>0.000</td>
<td>0.000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nb-Nb</td>
<td>2.86</td>
<td>0.009</td>
<td>0.009</td>
<td>0.009</td>
<td>0.009</td>
<td>0.009</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nb-Cu</td>
<td>2.71</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>0.000</td>
<td>0.001</td>
<td>0.002</td>
<td>0.002</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cu-Cu</td>
<td>2.56</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>0.000</td>
<td>0.000</td>
<td>0.000</td>
<td>0.000</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

---

Fig. 6. (a) The reduced pair correlation functions G(r) of (Co0.7Fe0.3Ni0.1)57.7B21.9Si5.1Nb5Cu0.3 BMG has the smallest amount of atomic spacing, which means it has the least free volume, but its plastic strain is the largest. To uncover the reason of this contradiction, HRTEM analysis was performed on (Co0.7Fe0.3Ni0.1)57.7B21.9Si5.1Nb5Cu0.3 (x = 0 and 0.3 at.%) BMGs using spherical aberration TEM to clearly compare the nanostructural change with Cu addition. The representative HRTEM images of these two BMGs are shown in Fig. 7(a) and (b), with the corresponding selected area electron diffraction patterns (SAED) shown in the insets. As no crystallites or sharp diffraction rings are observed, the amorphous features of the samples with or without Cu addition can be confirmed. In order to obtain the atomic configuration of the BMGs more clearly, the FFT filtered HRTEM images of the selected regions (the white squares) in Fig. 7(a) and (b) are shown in Fig. 8(a) and (b), respectively. Within the current visual field in Fig. 8(a), most areas of the (Co0.7Fe0.3Ni0.1)57.7B21.9Si5.1Nb5 BMG are composed of disordered structures. Some atom-centered clusters (highlighted by the yellow circles), indicating SRO regions, are also observed in the matrix [56]. Besides, the FFT of the area in this whole image, as shown in the inset of Fig. 8(a), reveals the disorder structure of this sample.
and Co/Fe/Ni atomic pairs. The repulsive force between Cu and the ferromagnetic atoms results in the formation of the Co(Fe,Ni)-rich and Co(Fe,Ni)-poor regions during quenching, promoting the more ordered arrangements of atoms and the formation of CLO regions. The CLO regions of ~1–2 nm in size are not large enough to be detected by XRD, and will not change the overall amorphous state of the sample. However, these increased structural ordering of the BMGs plays an important role during plastic deformation [58,59]. When (Co$_{0.7}$Fe$_{0.2}$Ni$_{0.1}$)$_{68}$B$_{21.9}$Si$_{5.1}$Nb$_{5}$BMG is under loading, the CLO regions act as the initiation sites for shear bands, and also intersect with the shear bands during their propagation to induce the formation of multiple shear bands. The shear bands interact with each other during compression tests, which leads to the macroscopically stable serration flow behavior of this BMG. The large elastic energy is dissipated by the initiation and propagation of shear bands. As a result, although the 0.3 at.% Cu-added BMG has the lowest free volume, the CLO regions in this BMG enhance its plasticity successfully. Nevertheless, when the Cu content is up to 0.7 at.%, not only α-(Fe, Co, Ni) appear, but also large size (Fe, Co, Ni)$_{23}$B and (Fe, Co, Ni)$_{2}$B crystalline phases and aggregates are precipitated, as shown in Fig. 10, which are not suitable for interaction with shear bands, and thus the plasticity of the alloy is deteriorated.
Also, both the formation of CLO structures in 0.3 at.% Cu-added alloy, and the large crystals in 0.7 at.% Cu-added alloy can lead to the pinning of magnetic domain, which results in the increase of $H_C$. This explains the continuous increase of $H_C$ values for the Co-based metallic glasses prepared in this work with the increasing amount of Cu addition, as shown in Fig. 4.

5. Conclusions

In conclusion, a novel (Co$_{0.7}$Fe$_{0.2}$Nb$_{0.1}$)$_{0.7}$B$_{21.6}$Si$_{1.5}$Nb$_{0.2}$Cu$_{0.2}$ BMG with a high fracture strength of 4770 MPa and a large plastic strain of 5.5% is successfully developed. The enhanced strength of (Co$_{0.7}$Fe$_{0.2}$Nb$_{0.1}$)$_{0.7}$B$_{21.6}$Si$_{1.5}$Nb$_{0.2}$Cu$_{0.2}$ BMG is attributed to the reduced average atomic spacing with Cu addition. The plasticity of this BMG is improved by the existence of CLO regions in the amorphous matrix in spite of the reduced free volume. The (Co$_{0.7}$Fe$_{0.2}$Nb$_{0.1}$)$_{0.7}$B$_{21.6}$Si$_{1.5}$Nb$_{0.2}$Cu$_{0.2}$ BMG also shows good soft magnetic properties. The decreased atomic spacing between ferromagnetic atoms improves the saturation magnetization to 0.60 T, while the coercivity is slightly increased (but still as low as 1.33 A/m) due to the pinning effect at CLO regions. This work provides a guideline in obtaining Co-based BMGs with good GFA, high strength, large room-temperature plasticity, as well as satisfying soft magnetic properties.
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